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I. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

1. ABOUT GAIN

The Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) is a Swiss-based foundation launched at the UN in 2002 to tackle the human suffering caused by malnutrition. Working with both governments and businesses, we aim to transform food systems so that they deliver more nutritious food for all people.

At GAIN, we believe that everyone in the world should have access to nutritious and safe food. We work to understand and deliver specific solutions to the daily challenge of food insecurity faced by poor people. By understanding that there is no “one-size-fits-all” model, we develop alliances and build tailored programmes, using a variety of flexible models and approaches.

We build alliances between governments, local and global businesses, and civil society to deliver sustainable improvements at scale. We are part of a global network of partners working together to create sustainable solutions to malnutrition. Through alliances, we provide technical, financial and policy support to key participants in the food system. We use specific learning, evidence of impact, and results of projects and programmes to shape and influence the actions of others.

Headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland, GAIN has representative offices in Denmark, The Netherlands, the United Kingdom, and the United States. In addition, we have country offices in Bangladesh, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Kenya, Mozambique, Nigeria, Pakistan, and Tanzania. Programmes and projects are carried out in a variety of other countries, particularly in Africa and Asia.

2. BACKGROUND

In 2017, the Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN) entered a grant agreement with the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands (MFA) for the implementation of the portfolio “Improving access to safe, healthy and affordable nutrition and promoting its consumption among the most vulnerable populations”. The three objectives and corresponding ten workstreams within the portfolio are:

- **Improve diets for vulnerable populations such as adolescents, women and children;** This involves our work in Large Scale Food Fortification, Better Diets for Children, and Adolescent Nutrition.
- **Enhance private sector actions to improve access to nutritious foods and tackle malnutrition;** This covers our programmes called Marketplace for Nutritious Foods, Post-harvest Loss Alliance for Nutrition (PLAN), Workforce Nutrition, & Scaling Up Nutrition (SUN) Business Network (SBN).
- **Improve the enabling environment for public-private partnerships.** Our work in the enabling environment includes Nutritious Foods Financing, Urban Governance for Nutrition, and Making Markets Work.

Besides these ten workstreams, the portfolio includes a recently added workstream on the “Commercialisation of Biofortified Foods” and a “Dutch Engagement” component to strengthen GAIN’s position and relationship with key stakeholders such as the MFA, private sector companies, universities and other Non-Governmental Organisations (NGOs) in the Netherlands.

An overview of all workstreams and implementing countries can be found in Annex 1.
The total funding provided to GAIN is EUR **61,003,927** for the period from 1st July 2017 until June 2022\(^1\). A EUR 10,000,000 amendment for a new workstream on the commercialisation of biofortified food was approved on 27th May 2019. Our workstreams now cover 43 projects in 12 countries.

## 3. SCOPE OF WORK AND DELIVERABLES

### 3.1. OVERALL OBJECTIVE OF MID-TERM EVALUATION

By March 2020 approximately 72% of the originally approved EUR51m budget has been spent, generating significant early results. The overall objective of the mid-term evaluation is to evaluate the workstreams implemented during the first half of the grant period from 2018 to mid-2020 on 6 OECD criteria: relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability. The evaluation is intended to be formative, assessing the extent to which we are on track to accomplish what we set out to accomplish, and identify where adjustments may be required, and surface options to accelerate progress and improve potential for impact. As such it will provide insights into the fit of the programmes as designed within the MFA food and nutrition security policy and provide insights and inputs into what areas could be strengthened in future work with GAIN. Results of this mid-term evaluation will be updated and complemented as part of a summative end of programme evaluation, estimated for the second quarter of 2022.

Given the current operating environment, the methodology will be adapted. It will use the existing programme theory of change and results framework as basis for assessing. Data will draw on findings (baseline, midline, endline as available) of existing independent evaluations of programme components already undertaken or in process and several program assessments (external reviews of program approaches). This will be complemented by review of other GAIN documents and key stakeholder interviews including Dutch missions, implementation partners, and independent experts as appropriate. We will exclude the new workstream on biofortification as it only started in 2019.

### 3.2. SCOPE AND APPROACH FOR THE MID-TERM EVALUATION

The evaluation will assess progress on the program using the OECD, Network on Development Evaluation criteria as framework. Briefly, the evaluation will explore all 6 OECD criteria: relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency, impact, efficiency, sustainability. That said, several criteria will be assessed as interim results (to be re-assessed in depth at endline), and others as final results (primary conclusions at midline and reassessment only in terms of any programmatic adaptations at endline).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Midterm focus</th>
<th>Key research question (note the specific research questions are modified slightly from OECD criteria to adapt to the portfolio, as per OECD guidance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevance</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>Is the portfolio of projects and the programmatic approach appropriate to advance the stated mission of GAIN? Are the nutrition priorities appropriate to the different target groups of the GAIN workstreams? Is the portfolio of projects aligned with national policies/national strategies of the MFA? What adaptions may be needed to align these?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherence</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>How well does the approach fit in terms of compatibility with other MFA investments? Other actions in the sector? In specific geographic areas where activities have been implemented?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effectiveness</td>
<td>Interim</td>
<td>Has the approach achieved / is it in the process of achieving its stated objective, including any differential results across the various programmatic areas? Are the various objectives and workstreams coherent and in synergy, especially on country level?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) The original grant period has been extended for 6 months until June 2022.
To the extent feasible, provide an interim overview of the results (e.g. number of people reached and the depth of that reach (e.g. reach through information, reach through availability and affordability of nutritious products, reach through behaviour change campaign, etc.).

### Efficiency

**Interim**

How well are the resources being used, and to what extent is the approach delivering results in an economic and timely fashion? Are there differences in efficiency across the various programmatic areas?

To the extent feasible, provide an interim overview of the results (reach and depth of reach as noted above) in relation to the cost of the work packages.

### Impact

**Interim**

Is the portfolio of programmes making a difference in its stated areas of influence and geographies? In other words, to what extent has GAIN’s work under this grant agreement generated or can it be expected to generate significant positive or negative, intended or unintended, higher-level effects?

### Sustainability

**Interim**

Are any benefits observed likely to last, to extend beyond the direct period of investment? For instance, to what extent is GAIN’s work being anchored into permanent structures and institutions (e.g. government, private sector/market, other organisations)?

The final methodological approach for the evaluation will be developed by the evaluators, but will include at minimum the following:

**Review of existing documents including:**
- the program’s overarching theory of change and results framework
- progress reports submitted to MFA
- design documentation, theories of change and results frameworks for selected programmatic areas
- where available, programme evaluations and assessment protocols (see annex 2)

**Virtual primary data collection to gather further insights from key stakeholders, including but not limited to:**
- GAIN staff
- MFA staff
- Implementation partners in select countries (see below)
- Key stakeholders in the nutrition and related communities and independent experts who know the sectors in country, but are not directly involved in GAIN programmes

Deep-dive into the following areas that have as yet not been included in external evaluations/assessments. As with the overall portfolio, these should be assessed using the same OECD criteria with a formative focus:

1. Large Scale Food Fortification India
2. Urban Governance for Nutrition workstream
3. Sub-grant to Smarter Futures
4. GAIN’s research and evaluation investment portfolio
5. GAIN’s Dutch Engagement strategy and approach

### 3.2. DELIVERABLES

- Inception report, including a further developed methodology and workplan
- Draft of final report prepared using structure agreed as part of inception
- Virtual “sense-making” workshop held with GAIN and MFA representative to review and provide input to findings and recommendations
- Final report
- Presentation slides (including a standalone summary slide) presenting the findings

### 3.3 EXPERTISE REQUIRED

For this assignment, we seek a consultant or consortium of consultants who together having the following qualifications:
• Higher education (MSc, PhD is an advantage) in nutrition, public health, or related field
• Experience in the analysis and interpretation of nutrition related data
• Experience in evaluating large-scale international programmes, including demonstrated familiarity with application of OECD criteria
• Experience in implementing food and nutrition programmes
• Experience in research in one or several of the GAIN programme target countries is an advantage

I. INSTRUCTIONS FOR RESPONDING

This section addresses the process for responding to this solicitation. Applicants are encouraged to review this prior to completing their responses.

1. CONTACT

Staff will be available to respond to clarifications on this solicitation. Please direct all inquiries and other communications to the GAIN RFP email address: rfp@gainhealth.org, with the subject line ‘Question: Mid-term evaluation of portfolio.’ Queries sent by 10 June 2020 at 5:00pm EST will be responded to via an online post on the website on 16 June 2020. Responses will not be confidential except in cases where the applicant clearly indicates that proprietary information is involved.

2. BUDGET

Applicants are required to provide an illustrative budget in US Dollars, in a separate document. The final budget will be elaborated as the scope of the assessment is clarified and as part of the contracting process. The budget submitted with this proposal should include (i) justification of overall value for money, (ii) a comprehensive budget justification, which should be presented for each category of costs including: personnel, cost of travel, including subsistence allowances, consultants, meeting/workshop, overhead if applicable, and miscellaneous expenses. All prices/rates quoted must be inclusive of all taxes/VAT as required.

3. FORMAT FOR PROPOSAL

The proposal needs to be formatted as follows:

• The proposal needs to be in English, and formatted and attached as two separate documents:
  • Technical proposal including:
    • An outline of the different activities to complete this work and methodological approaches addressing the evaluation building on this ToR, not to exceed four pages.
    • Detailed profiles (qualifications, expertise, relevant experience, etc.) of the agency or individual(s) who will be completing the work, including full names, expertise, relevant research publications, not to exceed two pages per individual/agency.
    • A summary of past experience (previous relevant research conducted in the last five years), not to exceed one page.
    • References (name, contact information) to vouch for past work.
  • Financial proposal outlining budget accompanied by a budget narrative (as explained above).

4. SUBMISSION

Proposals should be in English and submitted in electronic copy to the following e-mail address: rfp@gainhealth.org. Please include ‘Mid-term evaluation of portfolio’ as the subject line.
5. DEADLINE

Completed proposals should be submitted electronically to GAIN before 5:00 pm Central European Time on 22 June 2020.

RFP Launch: 1 June 2020
Proposal Deadline: 22 June 2020
Award of Contract: 29 June 2020 (estimated date)
Signing of Contract: 10 July 2020 (estimated date)
Beginning of work: 13 July 2020 (estimated date)
End of work: 15 September 2020 (estimated date)

6. UNACCEPTABLE

The following proposals will automatically not be considered or accepted:

- Proposals that are received after the RFP deadline at the specified receiving office.
- Proposals received by fax.
- Incomplete proposals.
- Proposals that are not signed.

7. REVISIONS

Proposals may be revised by electronic mail and confirmed by hard copy provided such revision(s) are received before the deadline.

8. ACCEPTANCE

GAIN will not necessarily accept the lowest cost or any of the Proposals submitted. Accordingly, eligibility requirements, evaluation criteria and mandatory requirements shall govern.

9. COMPLETION

- Proposals must be submitted on official letterhead of the lead organisation or firm and must be signed by a principal or authorising signatory of the lead firm or organisation.
- In case of errors in calculating overall costs, the unit costs will govern.
- It is the applicant's responsibility to understand the requirements and instructions specified by GAIN. In the event that clarification is necessary, applicants are advised to contact the responsible person at GAIN under section II, point 1., prior to making their submission.
- While GAIN has used considerable efforts to ensure an accurate representation in this Request for Proposal (RFP), the information contained in this RFP is supplied solely as a guideline. The information is not warranted to be accurate by GAIN. Nothing in this RFP is intended to relieve applicants from forming their own opinions and conclusions with respect to the matters addressed in this RFP.
- By responding to this RFP, the applicant confirms its understanding that failing to comply with any of the RFP conditions may result in the disqualification of their submission.
10. RIGHTS OF REJECTION

GAIN reserves the right to reject any or all submissions or to cancel or withdraw this RFP for any reason and at its sole discretion without incurring any cost or liability for costs or damages incurred by any applicant, including, without limitation, any expenses incurred in the preparation of the submission. The applicant acknowledges and agrees that GAIN will not indemnify the applicant for any costs, expenses, payments or damages directly or indirectly linked to the preparation of the submission.

11. REFERENCES

GAIN reserves the right, before awarding the Proposal, to require the applicant to submit such evidence of qualifications as it may deem necessary, and will consider evidence concerning the financial, technical and other qualifications and abilities of the applicant.

12. RELEASE OF INFORMATION

After awarding the Proposal and upon written request to GAIN, only the following information will be released:

- Name of the successful applicant.
- The applicant's own individual ranking.

II. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS SOLICITATION

1. NOTICE OF NON-BINDING SOLICITATION

GAIN reserves the right to reject any and all bids received in response to this solicitation and is in no way bound to accept any proposal. GAIN additionally reserves the right to negotiate the substance of the successful applicants’ proposals, as well as the option of accepting partial components of a proposal if deemed appropriate.

2. CONFIDENTIALITY

All information provided as part of this solicitation is considered confidential. In the event that any information is inappropriately released, GAIN will seek appropriate remedies as allowed. Proposals, discussions, and all information received in response to this solicitation will be held as strictly confidential.

3. RIGHT TO FINAL NEGOTIATIONS ON THE PROPOSAL

GAIN reserves the right to negotiate on the final costs, and the final scope of work of the proposal. GAIN reserves the right to limit or include third parties at GAIN’s sole and full discretion in such negotiations.

4. EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be reviewed by the Selection Team. The following indicate a list of the significant criteria against which proposals will be assessed. This list is not exhaustive or 100% inclusive and is provided to enhance the applicants’ ability to respond with substance.
Applicants are required to submit the following information, conforming to the guidelines given in this section:

- **Understanding of the scope of work:**
  - Proposal shall demonstrate a clear understanding of the project objective and deliverables as outlined in Section I.

- **Demonstrate a clear understanding of the technical requirements of this RFP:**
  - Providing detailed technical documentation of the proposed strategy.

- **The creative and methodological approaches required to implement each of the parts of the scope of work.**

- **Comprehensiveness of work plan and reasonableness of proposed time frame:**
  - Proposal shall include a feasible work plan to ensure successful completion of deliverables.
  - The work plan details how activities will be coordinated.

- **Detailed budget and cost-effectiveness of proposed approach:**
  - Evidence of cost-effective approaches to undertaking the scope of work within the proposed budget.
  - Proposal shall identify possible challenges and include creative approaches to addressing them.

- **Management and personnel plan:**
  - The team members working on this project shall have the relevant qualifications and overall experience required to successfully implement the project.
  - Roles and responsibilities of each team member shall be clearly defined. GAIN shall have one main contact person clearly identified in the proposal.

- **A duly completed offer of services.**

GAIN reserves the right to contact the individuals and contractor(s) in order to verify the information provided as part of the Proposal.

5. **REVIEW PROCESS**

The review process will involve a Review Panel with participants selected by GAIN.

6. **LIMITATIONS WITH REGARD TO THIRD PARTIES**

GAIN does not represent, warrant, or act as agent for any third party as a result of this solicitation. This solicitation does not authorise any third party to bind or commit GAIN in any way without GAIN’s express written consent.

7. **COMMUNICATION**

All communication regarding this solicitation shall be directed to appropriate parties at GAIN. Contacting third parties involved in the RFP, the review panel, or any other party may be considered a conflict of interest and could result in disqualification of the proposal.

8. **FINAL ACCEPTANCE**

Award of a Proposal does not imply acceptance of its terms and conditions. GAIN reserves the right to negotiate on the final terms and conditions including the costs and the scope of work when negotiating the final contract to be agreed between GAIN and the applicant.
9. VALIDITY PERIOD

The offer of services will remain valid for a period of 60 days after the Proposal closing date. In the event of award, the successful applicant will be expected to enter into a contract subject to GAIN’s terms and conditions.

10. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Subject to the terms of the contract to be concluded between GAIN and the applicant, the ownership of the intellectual property related to the scope of work of the contract, including technical information, know-how, processes, copyrights, models, drawings, source code and specifications developed by the applicant in performance of the contract shall vest entirely with GAIN.

11. SCOPE OF CHANGE

Once the contract is signed, no increase in the liability of GAIN or in the fees to be paid by GAIN for the services resulting from any change, modification or interpretation of the documents will be authorised or paid to the applicant unless such change, modification or interpretation has received the express prior written approval of GAIN.
III. OFFER OF SERVICES

1. Offer submitted by:

__________________________________
__________________________________
__________________________________
(Print or type business, corporate name and address)

2. I (We) the undersigned hereby offer to GAIN, to furnish all necessary expertise, supervision, materials, and other things necessary to complete to the entire satisfaction of the Executive Director or authorised representative, the work as described in the Request for Proposal according to the terms and conditions of GAIN for the following prices:
   a. Click or tap here to enter text.
   b. Click or tap here to enter text.
   c. Click or tap here to enter text.
   d. Click or tap here to enter text.

3. I (We) agree that the Offer of Services will remain valid for a period of sixty days (60) calendar days after the date of its receipt by GAIN.

4. I (We) herewith submit the following:

   (a) A Proposal to undertake the work, in accordance with GAIN’s requirements specified.
   (b) A duly completed offer of services, subject to the terms herein.

OFFERS WHICH DO NOT CONTAIN THE ABOVE-MENTIONED DOCUMENTATION OR DEVIATE FROM THE PRESCRIBED COSTING FORMAT MAY BE CONSIDERED INCOMPLETE AND NON-RESPONSIVE.

Date this day of Click or tap here to enter text. in Click or tap here to enter text.

_______________________ Click or tap here to enter text.
Signature (applicant)

_______________________ Click or tap here to enter text.
Signature (applicant)
ANNEX 1: OVERVIEW OF THE WORKSTREAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workstream</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>GAIN countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large Scale Food Fortification (LSFF)</td>
<td>This workstream aims to improve the compliance and quality delivery on existing LSFF programmes; expand fortification in some countries of new food vehicles; and provide global coordination and technical assistance through the ENABLE platform and other platforms such as GFDx.</td>
<td>Bangladesh, Ethiopia, India, Mozambique, Pakistan, Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Diets for Children (BDC)</td>
<td>By rallying governments, academia, social sector partners and business we aim to improve demand for, access to, and utilisation of existing and new nutritious foods, considering household and family foods as well as out of home consumption. This workstream targets children under 5, with a special focus on the critical phase of complementary feeding (6-23 months) in Indonesia, Ethiopia, Nigeria and Mozambique.</td>
<td>Ethiopia, Indonesia, Mozambique, Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Nutrition</td>
<td>This workstream targets adolescents. At the global level, scoping exercises will be conducted to learn more about what interventions improve dietary quality of adolescents and how to implement them in low and middle income countries. By building strategic relationships with government, donors, research institutions and other partners, the goal is to launch larger scale programmes to improve the quality of adolescent diets. At country level, evidence will be generated and political support be garnered to design cost-effective and scalable nutrition interventions that have the best potential to improve nutrition outcomes.</td>
<td>Bangladesh, Indonesia, Mozambique, Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace for Nutritious Food (MNF)</td>
<td>The MNF workstream is designed to support private-sector companies to become (or improve their ability to be) sustainable producers of safe and nutritious foods. The project seeks to support businesses, mostly small and medium enterprises (SMEs) to increase the access, demand, and quality of nutritious foods by providing technical and financial support to companies that produce nutritious foods. Consequently, companies will be able to improve the quality and quantity of safe and nutritious foods produced.</td>
<td>Kenya, Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workforce Nutrition</td>
<td>The Workforce Nutrition workstream aims to develop an effective and efficient set of nutrition interventions, which businesses in agricultural and industrial supply chains can implement. The focus lies with sector transformation in the garment (Bangladesh) and tea (India, Kenya) sectors by developing demonstration models to improve nutrition of workers, smallholders and their families. Furthermore, it is expanding the approach to the cocoa sector (Ghana).</td>
<td>Bangladesh, Ghana, India, Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postharvest Loss Alliance for Nutrition (PLAN)</td>
<td>The objective of PLAN is to bring together the multitude of public and private actors to act as both a global nucleus for coordination, programming, research, knowledge exchange and investment on postharvest loss as well as a national hub in emerging markets for business to business (B2B) engagement.</td>
<td>Ethiopia, Indonesia, Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUN Business Network (SBN)</td>
<td>The SBN workstream will strengthen existing national SBNs to unlock new actions and investments from business and donors to increase the availability and affordability of nutritious foods to low income consumers. Another aim is to increase the number of national SBNs.</td>
<td>Bangladesh, Nigeria, Pakistan, Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritious Foods Financing</td>
<td>The Nutritious Food Financing workstream focuses on applying blended finance models to the scale-up market-based approaches to improve access to nutrition foods. By building on the significant range of GAIN’s private sector engagements, it aims to introduce both a systematic approach and set of tools for GAIN’s way of doing business, and to catalyse private sector finance to help scale up locally produced nutritious foods in emerging markets through a Nutritious Food Financing Facility (N3F). These are intended to fill a gap in capital and debt markets available to small and medium sized food producing companies, and will</td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
facilitate cooperation between governments and companies around food quality and assurance required to speed up the roll out of new nutritious food products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban Governance for Nutrition</th>
<th>The Urban Governance for Nutrition workstream builds the enabling environment focusing on a) developing the global knowledge base on urban governance in nutrition; and b) a set of targeted advocacy activities to generate action in this area, globally and in two cities (in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania and Surabaya, Indonesia). At the city level the program aims at improving nutrition by working with local governments and others involved in urban governance processes, by embedding nutrition as a key focus area into municipal policies, and by improving existing nutrition governance.</th>
<th>Indonesia, Tanzania</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Making Markets Work (MMW)</td>
<td>The Making Markets Work workstream is a multi-donor and multi-programme portfolio. Through the six projects contained within the portfolio GAIN will examine supply and demand constraints, and enhance the enabling environment in the public-private space to increase the consumption of safe and nutritious foods among low income populations and across GAIN’s nine country offices.</td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercialisation of Biofortified Crops</td>
<td>The aim of this workstream is the commercialisation of six biofortified nutrient dense crops (Zinc rice, Iron pearl millet, Zinc wheat, Iron beans, Vitamin A cassava and Vitamin A maize) to at least 200 million consumers by 2022 and 600 million by 2028 in six targeted countries in Asia and Africa (Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania).</td>
<td>Bangladesh, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutch Engagement</td>
<td>Dutch Engagement is lead and facilitated by GAIN's office in Utrecht and aims to leverage its collaboration with Dutch organisations and platforms. In particular GAIN will work with Dutch missions in the target countries, other Dutch NGOs, private sector companies, and knowledge institutes. Additionally, we seek synergies with the A4NH (Agriculture for Nutrition and Health) Food Systems Healthier Diets research programme, led by Wageningen University. GAIN has supported the secretariat for the Netherlands Working Group on international Nutrition between 2017-2019.</td>
<td>Global</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ANNEX 2: OVERVIEW OF WORKSTREAMS AND EVALUATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Assess: MMW</td>
<td>Eval: 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>Assess: MMW</td>
<td>Eval: 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Assess: MMW*</td>
<td>Eval: 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Assess: MMW</td>
<td>Eval: MMW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Assess: MMW</td>
<td>Assess: MMW*</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eval: MMW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Funded by MFA**: Undergoing review of programmatic approach with Making Markets Work Workstream 5 funding
- **Process evaluation or formative study**: Undergoing rigorous impact evaluation with MFA grant
- **Assess: MMW**: Undergoing rigorous impact evaluation with Making Markets Work Workstream 4 funding
- **Assess: MMW***: Undergoing rigorous impact evaluation with Making Markets Work Workstream 5 funding; evaluation includes indicators which do not receive programmatic funding from current MFA grant
- **PE: 2.0**: Undergoing rigorous impact evaluation with Making Markets Work Workstream 4 funding
- **Eval: 2.0**: Process evaluation or formative study
- **Eval: MMW**: Undergoing rigorous impact evaluation with Making Markets Work Workstream 5 funding; evaluation includes indicators which do not receive programmatic funding from current MFA grant

| Funded by MFA: Dutch programme contributes to global staff contributions to those programmes, but there are no global objectives |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Eval: 2.0                                                     | Eval: MMW                                                     |
| Funded by MFA; Dutch programme contributes to global staff contributions to those programmes, but there are no global objectives |
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